arXiv:math-ph/0204053v1 28 Apr 2002

Certain basic inequalities for submanifolds in a (κ, μ)-contact space form

Mukut Mani Tripathi[*], Jeong-Sik Kim[†]and Jaedong Choi

Abstract. Certain basic inequalities between intrinsic and extrinsic invariants for a submanifold in a (κ, μ)-contact space form are obtained. As applications we get some results for invariant submanifolds in a (κ, μ)-contact space form.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C40 (53C25, 53C42, 53D10).

Keywords and Phrases. (κ, μ)-contact space form, Sasakian space form, invariant submanifold, Chen's δ-invariant, scalar curvature and squared mean curvature.

1 Introduction

In [3], B.-Y. Chen established a sharp inequality for a submanifold in a real space form involving intrinsic invariants, namely the sectional curvatures and the scalar curvature of the submanifold; and the main extrinsic invariant, namely the squared mean curvature. Similar inequalities were established in case of submanifolds of Sasakian space forms also ([2],[6]).

Recently, T. Koufogiorgos introduced the notion of (κ, μ)-contact space form ([7]), which contains the well known class of Sasakian space forms for $\kappa = 1$. Thus it is worthwhile to study relationships between intrinsic and extrinsic invariants of submanifolds in a (κ, μ)-contact space form. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains necessary details about (κ, μ)-contact space form and its submanifolds. In section 3, we state a Lemma relating scalar curvature, squared mean curvature and squared second fundamental form for a submanifold tangential to the structure vector field in a (κ, μ)-contact space form, then we obtain two basic inequalities involving the scalar curvature and the sectional curvatures of the submanifold on left hand side and the squared mean curvature on the right hand side. In the last section, we study invariant submanifolds of

---

[*] First author is Post-Doctoral Researcher under Brain Korea-21 Project at Chonnam National University, Korea.

[†] Second and third authors would like to acknowledge financial support in part from Korea Science and Engineering Foundation Grant (R01-2001-00003).

(κ,μ)-contact space forms. We also obtain a B.-Y. Chen inequality for Chen like δ-invariant for invariant submanifolds in a (κ,μ)-contact space form.

2 Preliminaries

A $(2m+1)$-dimensional differentiable manifold $\tilde{M}$ is called an almost contact manifold if its structural group can be reduced to $U(m)\times 1$. Equivalently, there is an almost contact structure (φ,ξ,η) consisting of a $(1,1)$ tensor field φ, a vector field ξ, and a 1-form η satisfying $\varphi^2=-I+\eta\otimes\xi$ and (one of) $\eta(\xi)=1$, $\varphi\xi=0$, $\eta\circ\varphi=0$. The almost contact structure is said to be *normal* if the induced almost complex structure J on the product manifold $\tilde{M}\times\mathbb{R}$ defined by $J\left(X,\lambda d/dt\right)=\left(\varphi X-\lambda\xi,\eta\left(X\right)d/dt\right)$ is integrable, where X is tangent to $\tilde{M}$, t the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$ and λ a smooth function on $\tilde{M}\times\mathbb{R}$. The condition for being normal is equivalent to vanishing of the torsion tensor $[\varphi,\varphi]+2d\eta\otimes\xi$, where $[\varphi,\varphi]$ is the Nijenhuis tensor of φ. Let $\langle\,,\rangle$ be a compatible Riemannian metric with (φ,ξ,η), that is, $\langle X,Y\rangle=\langle\varphi X,\varphi Y\rangle+\eta\left(X\right)\eta\left(Y\right)$ or equivalently, $\Phi\left(X,Y\right)\equiv\langle X,\varphi Y\rangle=-\langle\varphi X,Y\rangle$ along with $\langle X,\xi\rangle=\eta\left(X\right)$ for all $X,Y\in T\tilde{M}$. Then, $\tilde{M}$ becomes an almost contact metric manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure $(\varphi,\xi,\eta,\langle\,,\rangle)$. An almost contact metric structure becomes a *contact metric structure* if $\Phi=d\eta$. A normal contact metric manifold is a *Sasakian manifold*. An almost contact metric manifold is Sasakian if and only if $(\tilde{\nabla}_X\varphi)Y=\langle X,Y\rangle\,\xi-\eta(Y)X$ for all $X,Y\in T\tilde{M}$, where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is Levi-Civita connection. Also, a contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$ is Sasakian if and only if the curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ satisfies $\tilde{R}(X,Y)\xi=\eta(Y)X-\eta(X)Y$ for all $X,Y\in T\tilde{M}$.

In a contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$, the $(1,1)$-tensor field h defined by $2h=\mathfrak{L}_\xi\varphi$ is symmetric and satisfies

$$h\xi=0,\quad h\varphi+\varphi h=0,\quad \tilde{\nabla}_X\xi=-\varphi X-\varphi hX,\quad \operatorname{trace}\left(h\right)=\operatorname{trace}\left(\varphi h\right)=0. \tag{1}$$

The (κ,μ)-*nullity distribution* of a contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$ is a distribution

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(\kappa,\mu\right)\ :\ p\to N_p\left(\kappa,\mu\right)=\Big\{&Z\in T_pM\mid\tilde{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z=\kappa\left(\langle Y,Z\rangle X-\langle X,Z\rangle Y\right)\\ &+\mu\left(\langle Y,Z\rangle hX-\langle X,Z\rangle hY\right)\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

where κ and μ are constants. If $\xi\in N\left(\kappa,\mu\right)$, $\tilde{M}$ is called a (κ,μ)-*contact metric manifold*. Since in a (κ,μ)-contact metric manifold one has $h^2=\left(\kappa-1\right)\varphi^2$, therefore $\kappa\leq 1$ and if $\kappa=1$ then the structure is Sasakian. Characteristic examples of non-Sasakian (κ,μ)-contact metric manifolds are the tangent sphere bundles of Riemannian manifolds of constant sectional curvature not equal to one. For more details we refer to [1] and [7].

The sectional curvature $\tilde{K}\left(X,\varphi X\right)$ of a plane section spanned by a unit vector X orthogonal to ξ is called a φ-*sectional curvature*. If the (κ,μ)-contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$ has constant φ-sectional curvature c then it is called a (κ,μ)-*contact space form* and is denoted by $\tilde{M}\left(c\right)$. The curvature tensor of $\tilde{M}\left(c\right)$ is given by ([7])

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z=\frac{c+3}{4}\left\{\left\langle Y,Z\right\rangle X-\left\langle X,Z\right\rangle Y\right\}\\
&+\frac{c-1}{4}\left\{2\left\langle X,\varphi Y\right\rangle \varphi Z+\left\langle X,\varphi Z\right\rangle \varphi Y-\left\langle Y,\varphi Z\right\rangle \varphi X\right\}\\
&+\frac{c+3-4\kappa}{4}\left\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y-\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X+\left\langle X,Z\right\rangle \eta(Y)\xi-\left\langle Y,Z\right\rangle \eta(X)\xi\right\}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\langle hY,Z\right\rangle hX-\left\langle hX,Z\right\rangle hY+\left\langle \varphi hX,Z\right\rangle \varphi hY-\left\langle \varphi hY,Z\right\rangle \varphi hX\right\}\\
&+\left\langle \varphi Y,\varphi Z\right\rangle hX-\left\langle \varphi X,\varphi Z\right\rangle hY+\left\langle hX,Z\right\rangle \varphi^2 Y-\left\langle hY,Z\right\rangle \varphi^2 X\\
&+\mu\left\{\eta(Y)\eta(Z)hX-\eta(X)\eta(Z)hY+\left\langle hY,Z\right\rangle \eta(X)\xi-\left\langle hX,Z\right\rangle \eta(Y)\xi\right\} \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $X,Y,Z\in T\tilde{M}$, where $c+2\kappa=-1=\kappa-\mu$ if $\kappa<1$.

The Riemannian invariants are the intrinsic characteristics of a Riemannian manifold. The scalar curvature is the most studied scalar valued Riemannian invariant on Riemannian manifolds. Let M be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold. The scalar curvature τ at p is given by $\tau=\sum_{i<j}K_{ij}$, where K_{ij} is the sectional curvature of the plane section spanned by e_i and e_j at $p\in M$ for any orthonormal basis $\{e_1,\dots,e_n\}$ for T_pM. We denote by $K(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of M for a plane section π in T_pM.

Let M be an n-dimensional submanifold in a manifold $\tilde{M}$ equipped with a Riemannian metric $\langle\,,\rangle$. The Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given respectively by $\tilde{\nabla}_XY=\nabla_XY+\sigma\left(X,Y\right)$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_XN=-A_NX+\nabla^{\perp}_XN$ for all $X,Y\in TM$ and $N\in T^{\perp}M$, where $\tilde{\nabla}$, ∇ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ are Riemannian, induced Riemannian and induced normal connections in $\tilde{M}$, M and the normal bundle $T^{\perp}M$ of M respectively, and σ is the second fundamental form related to the shape operator A_N in the direction of N by $\left\langle\sigma\left(X,Y\right),N\right\rangle=\left\langle A_NX,Y\right\rangle$. Then, the Gauss equation is given by

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y,Z,W)=R(X,Y,Z,W)-\left\langle\sigma\left(X,W\right),\sigma\left(Y,Z\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle\sigma\left(X,Z\right),\sigma\left(Y,W\right)\right\rangle \qquad (3)$$

for all $X,Y,Z,W\in TM$, where $\tilde{R}$ and R are the curvature tensors of $\tilde{M}$ and M respectively. The mean curvature vector H is expressed by $nH=\operatorname{trace}\left(\sigma\right)$. The submanifold M is *totally geodesic* in $\tilde{M}$ if $\sigma=0$, and *minimal* if $H=0$. If $\sigma\left(X,Y\right)=\left\langle X,Y\right\rangle H$ for all $X,Y\in TM$, then M is *totally umbilical*.

3 Certain basic inequalities

We recall the following Chen's Lemma for later use.

Lemma 3.1 ([3]) *If* $a_1,\dots,a_n,a_{n+1}$ *are* $n+1$ $(n>1)$ *real numbers such that*

$$\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_i\right)^2=\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_i^2+a_{n+1},$$

then $2a_1a_2 \geq a_{n+1}$*, with equality holding if and only if* $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_n$*.*

For a vector field X on a submanifold M of an almost contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$, let PX be the tangential part of φX. Thus, P is an endomorphism of the tangent bundle of M and satisfies $\langle X, PY\rangle = -\langle PX, Y\rangle$. Let $\pi \subset T_pM$ be a plane section spanned by an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Then, $\alpha(\pi)$ given by

$$\alpha(\pi) = \langle e_1, Pe_2\rangle^2$$

is a real number in the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$, which is independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Let $\xi \in TM$ and put

$$\beta(\pi) = (\eta(e_1))^2 + (\eta(e_2))^2,$$

$$\gamma(\pi) = \eta(e_1)^2 \left\langle h^T e_2, e_2\right\rangle + \eta(e_2)^2 \left\langle h^T e_1, e_1\right\rangle - 2\eta(e_1)\eta(e_2) \left\langle h^T e_1, e_2\right\rangle.$$

Then, $\beta(\pi)$ and $\gamma(\pi)$ are also real numbers and do not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$. Of course, $\beta(\pi) \in [0, 1]$.

In view of (2) and (3) we state the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *In an n-dimensional submanifold* M *in a* (κ, μ)*-contact space form* $\tilde{M}(c)$ *such that* $\xi \in TM$*, the scalar curvature and the squared mean curvature satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tau = {} & \frac{1}{4}\left\{n(n-1)(c+3) + 3(c-1)\|P\|^2 - 2(n-1)(c+3-4\kappa)\right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^T\right\|^2 - \left\|h^T\right\|^2 - \left(trace\left((\varphi h)^T\right)\right)^2 + \left(trace\left(h^T\right)\right)^2\right\} \\ & + 2(\mu + n - 2)\, trace\left(h^T\right) + n^2\|H\|^2 - \|\sigma\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$\|\sigma\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle\sigma(e_i, e_j), \sigma(e_i, e_j)\rangle, \ \|Q\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle e_i, Qe_j\rangle^2, \ Q \in \left\{P, (\varphi h)^T, h^T\right\}$$

and $(\varphi h)^T X$ *and* $h^T X$ *are the tangential parts of* φhX *and* hX *respectively for* $X \in TM$*.*

The equation (4) is of fundamental importance and will play main role to establish several inequalities.

Now, we prove the following contact version of Theorem 3 of [5].

Theorem 3.3 *Let* M *be an n-dimensional* $(n \geq 3)$ *submanifold isometrically immersed in a* $(2m+1)$*-dimensional* (κ, μ)*-contact space form* $\tilde{M}(c)$ *such that*

$\xi \in TM$. Then, for each point $p \in M$ and each plane section $\pi \subset T_pM$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau - K(\pi) \leq \frac{n^2(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\|H\|^2 + \frac{1}{8}n(n-3)(c+3) + (n-1)\kappa \\
&+ \frac{3(c-1)}{8}\left\{\|P\|^2 - 2\alpha(\pi)\right\} + \frac{1}{4}(c+3-4\kappa)\beta(\pi) - (\mu-1)\gamma(\pi) \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\left\{2\,trace(h|_\pi) + \det(h|_\pi) - \det((\varphi h)|_\pi)\right\} + (\mu+n-2)\,trace\left(h^T\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^T\right\|^2 - \left\|h^T\right\|^2 - \left(trace\left((\varphi h)^T\right)\right)^2 + \left(trace\left(h^T\right)\right)^2\right\}. \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

The equality in (5) holds at $p \in M$ if and only if there exist an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of T_pM and an orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2m+1}\}$ of $T_p^\perp M$ such that **(a)** *$\pi = Span\{e_1, e_2\}$ and* **(b)** *the forms of shape operators $A_r \equiv A_{e_r}$, $r = n+1, \ldots, 2m+1$, become*

$$A_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a+b)I_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (6)$$

$$A_r = \begin{pmatrix} c_r & d_r & 0 \\ d_r & -c_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad r = n+2, \ldots, 2m+1. \qquad (7)$$

Proof. Let $\pi \subset T_pM$ be a plane section. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ for T_pM and $\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2m+1}\}$ for the normal space $T_p^\perp M$ at p such that $\pi = \mathrm{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ and the mean curvature vector H is in the direction of the normal vector to e_{n+1}. We rewrite (4) as

$$\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sigma_{ii}^{n+1}\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{ii}^{n+1}\right)^2 + \sum_{i\neq j}\left(\sigma_{ij}^{n+1}\right)^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{ij}^{r}\right)^2 + \rho, \qquad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho &= 2\tau - \frac{n^2(n-2)}{n-1}\|H\|^2 - 2(\mu+n-2)\,\mathrm{trace}\left(h^T\right) \\
&-\frac{1}{4}\left\{n(n-1)(c+3) + 3(c-1)\|P\|^2 - 2(n-1)(c+3-4\kappa)\right\} \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^T\right\|^2 - \left\|h^T\right\|^2 - \left(\mathrm{trace}\left((\varphi h)^T\right)\right)^2 + \left(\mathrm{trace}\left(h^T\right)\right)^2\right\} \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma_{ij}^r = \langle \sigma(e_i, e_j), e_r\rangle$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$; $r \in \{n+1, \ldots, 2m+1\}$. Now, applying Lemma 3.1 to (8), we obtain

$$2\sigma_{11}^{n+1}\sigma_{22}^{n+1} \geq \rho + \sum_{i\neq j}\left(\sigma_{ij}^{n+1}\right)^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{ij}^{r}\right)^2. \qquad (10)$$

From equation (2) and (3) it also follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K(\pi) &= \frac{1}{4}\left\{3+c+3(c-1)\alpha(\pi)-(c+3-4\kappa)\beta(\pi)+4(\mu-1)\gamma(\pi)\right\} \\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2}\left\{2\mathrm{trace}\left(h|_{\pi}\right)+\det\left(h|_{\pi}\right)-\det\left((\varphi h)|_{\pi}\right)\right\} \\ &\quad+\sigma_{11}^{n+1}\sigma_{22}^{n+1}-\left(\sigma_{12}^{n+1}\right)^2+\sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1}\left(\sigma_{11}^{r}\sigma_{22}^{r}-\left(\sigma_{12}^{r}\right)^2\right). \qquad (11) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (10) and (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(\pi) &\geq \frac{1}{4}\left\{3+c+3(c-1)\alpha(\pi)-(c+3-4\kappa)\beta(\pi)+4(\mu-1)\gamma(\pi)\right\} \\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2}\left\{2\mathrm{trace}\left(h|_{\pi}\right)+\det\left(h|_{\pi}\right)-\det\left((\varphi h)|_{\pi}\right)\right\}+\frac{1}{2}\rho \\ &\quad+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2m+1}\sum_{j>2}\{(\sigma_{1j}^{r})^2+(\sigma_{2j}^{r})^2\}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j>2}(\sigma_{ij}^{n+1})^2 \\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1}\sum_{i,j>2}(\sigma_{ij}^{r})^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=n+2}^{2m+1}(\sigma_{11}^{r}+\sigma_{22}^{r})^2. \qquad (12) \end{aligned}$$

In view of (9) and (12), we get (5).

If the equality in (5) holds, then the inequalities given by (10) and (12) become equalities. In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{1j}^{n+1}=0,\ \sigma_{2j}^{n+1}=0,\ \sigma_{ij}^{n+1}=0, \quad i\neq j>2; \\ &\sigma_{1j}^{r}=\sigma_{2j}^{r}=\sigma_{ij}^{r}=0,\ r=n+2,\dots,2m+1; \quad i,j=3,\dots,n; \\ &\sigma_{11}^{n+2}+\sigma_{22}^{n+2}=\cdots=\sigma_{11}^{2m+1}+\sigma_{22}^{2m+1}=0. \qquad (13) \end{aligned}$$

Now, we choose e_1 and e_2 so that $\sigma_{12}^{n+1}=0$. Applying Lemma 3.1 we also have

$$\sigma_{11}^{n+1}+\sigma_{22}^{n+1}=\sigma_{33}^{n+1}=\cdots=\sigma_{nn}^{n+1}. \qquad (14)$$

Thus, choosing a suitable orthonormal basis $\{e_1,\dots,e_{2m+1}\}$, the shape operator of M becomes of the form given by (6) and (7). The converse is easy to follow. □

For a submanifold M of an almost contact metric manifold tangential to the structure vector field ξ, we write the orthogonal direct decomposition $TM=\mathcal{D}\oplus\{\xi\}$. Moreover, if the ambient manifold is contact also, then

$$\nabla_{\xi}\xi=0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\xi,\xi)=0. \qquad (15)$$

Next, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4 *Let M be an n-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ submanifold isometrically immersed in a $(2m+1)$-dimensional (κ, μ)-contact space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ such that $\xi \in TM$. Then, for each point $p \in M$ and each plane section $\pi \subset \mathcal{D}_p$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau - K(\pi) \leq \frac{n^2(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \|H\|^2 + \frac{1}{8} n(c+3)(n-3) + (n-1)\kappa \\
&+ \frac{3(c-1)}{8} \left\{ \|P\|^2 - 2\alpha(\pi) \right\} + (\mu + n - 2)\, trace\left(h^T\right) \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 2 trace\left(h|_\pi\right) + \det\left(h|_\pi\right) - \det\left((\varphi h)|_\pi\right) \right\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left\| (\varphi h)^T \right\|^2 - \left\| h^T \right\|^2 - \left(trace\left((\varphi h)^T \right) \right)^2 + \left(trace\left(h^T\right) \right)^2 \right\}. \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

The equality in (16) holds at $p \in M$ if and only if there exist an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of T_pM and an orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2m+1}\}$ of $T_p^\perp M$ such that **(a)** *$e_n = \xi$,* **(b)** *$\pi = Span\{e_1, e_2\}$ and* **(c)** *the forms of shape operators $A_r \equiv A_{e_r}$, $r = n+1, \ldots, 2m+1$, become (7) and*

$$A_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Let $\pi \subset \mathcal{D}_p$ be a plane section at $p \in M$. We choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n = \xi\}$ for T_pM and $\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2m+1}\}$ for the normal space $T_p^\perp M$ at p such that $\pi = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ and the mean curvature vector $H(p)$ is parallel to e_{n+1}. Using $\eta(e_1) = 0 = \eta(e_2)$, we get $\beta(\pi) = 0 = \gamma(\pi)$. Thus, proof of (16) is similar to that of (5). In equality case, using (15), (14) becomes

$$\sigma_{11}^{n+1} + \sigma_{22}^{n+1} = \sigma_{33}^{n+1} = \cdots = \sigma_{nn}^{n+1} = 0, \quad (18)$$

and thus (6) is modified to (17). □

Since in case of non-Sasakian (κ, μ)-contact space form, we have $\kappa < 1$, and thus $c = -2\kappa - 1$ and $\mu = \kappa + 1$. Putting these values in (5) and (16), we can have direct corollaries to Theorems 3.3 and 3.4. For example, Corollary to Theorem 3.3 is as follows.

Corollary 3.5 *Let M be an n-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ submanifold isometrically immersed in a non-Sasakian (κ, μ)-contact space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ such that $\xi \in TM$. Then, for each point $p \in M$ and each plane section $\pi \subset T_pM$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau - K(\pi) \leq \frac{n^2(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \|H\|^2 - \frac{1}{4} n(n-3)(\kappa - 1) + (n-1)\kappa \\
&- \frac{3}{4}(\kappa+1)\|P\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left\{ 3(\kappa+1)\alpha(\pi) - (3\kappa - 1)\beta(\pi) - 2\kappa\gamma(\pi) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\left\{2 trace\left(h|_{\pi}\right)+\det\left(h|_{\pi}\right)-\det\left((\varphi h)|_{\pi}\right)\right\}+(\kappa+n-1)\, trace\left(h^{T}\right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left\|(\varphi h)^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left\|h^{T}\right\|^{2}-\left(trace\left((\varphi h)^{T}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(trace\left(h^{T}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}. \qquad (19)$$

The equality in (19) *holds at $p \in M$ if and only if there exist an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of T_pM and an orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{2m+1}\}$ of $T_p^{\perp}M$ such that* **(a)** *$\pi = Span\{e_1, e_2\}$ and* **(b)** *the shape operators $A_r \equiv A_{e_r}$, $r = n+1, \ldots, 2m+1$ are of forms given by* (6) *and* (7)*.*

If $\kappa = 1$, the (κ, μ)-contact space form reduces to Sasakian space form $\tilde{M}(c)$; thus $h = 0$ and (2) becomes the equation in Theorem 7.14 of [1]. Therefore, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 provide Sasakian versions as Theorem 3.2 of [6] and [2] respectively. Now, we recall Chen's *δ-invariant* given by

$$\delta_M(p) = \tau(p) - (\inf K)(p) = \tau(p) - \inf\{K(\pi) \mid \pi \text{ is a plane section } \subset T_pM\},$$

which is certainly an intrinsic character of M. Improving Theorem 4.1 of [6], we have the following [8]

Theorem 3.6 *Let M be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in a Sasakian space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ of constant φ-sectional curvature $c < 1$ with the structure vector field ξ tangent to M. M satisfies Chen's basic equality*

$$\delta_M = \frac{n^2(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\|H\|^2 + \frac{1}{8}\{(n(n-3)c + 3n^2 - n - 8\}, \qquad (20)$$

if and only if M is a 3-dimensional minimal invariant submanifold. Hence, Chen's invariant becomes $\delta_M = 2$.

4 Invariant submanifolds

A submanifold M of an almost contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$ with the structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, \langle\,,\rangle)$ is called an invariant submanifold if $\varphi T_pM \subset T_pM$. If $\tilde{M}$ is contact also, then $\xi \in TM$, $\sigma(X, \xi) = 0$ and M is minimal ([1]). On the other hand, we have the following

Proposition 4.1 *Every totally umbilical submanifold M of a contact metric manifold such that $\xi \in TM$ is minimal and consequently totally geodesic.*

The proof follows from $H = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle H = \sigma(\xi, \xi) = 0$, where (15) is used. Choosing an orthonormal basis $\{e_i, \varphi e_i, \xi\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{2}$, we also can prove

Proposition 4.2 *In an n-dimensional invariant submanifold of a contact metric manifold, we have*

$$\|P\|^2 = n-1,\; trace\left(h^T\right) = trace\left((\varphi h)^T\right) = 0,\; \left\|(\varphi h)^T\right\|^2 = \left\|h^T\right\|^2. \qquad (21)$$

Thus, for an n-dimensional invariant submanifold in a (κ, μ)-contact space form $\tilde{M}(c)$, the scalar curvature and the second fundamental form satisfy

$$2\tau = \frac{1}{4}(n-1)\{(n+1)c + 8\kappa + 3n - 9\} - \|\sigma\|^2 . \tag{22}$$

In view of the above equation, we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3 *For an n-dimensional invariant submanifold isometrically immersed in a (κ, μ)-contact space form $\tilde{M}(c)$, we get*

$$\tau \leq \frac{1}{8}(n-1)\{(n+1)c + 8\kappa + 3n - 9\} \tag{23}$$

with equality if and only if the invariant submanifold is totally umbilical, where $c = -2\kappa - 1$ if $\kappa < 1$.

For each point $p \in M$, we put ([2])

$$\delta_M^{\mathcal{D}}(p) = \tau(p) - \inf\{K(\pi) : \text{plane sections } \pi \subset \mathcal{D}_p\} . \tag{24}$$

Now, we conclude the paper with the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4 *For an n-dimensional invariant submanifold isometrically immersed in a (κ, μ)-contact space form $\tilde{M}(c)$, we get*

$$\delta_M^{\mathcal{D}} \leq \frac{n-3}{8}\{(n+3)c + 3(n-1)\} + (n-1)\kappa. \tag{25}$$

Proof. Let $\pi \subset \mathcal{D}_p$ be a plane section at $p \in M$. We can choose unit vectors e and Pe such that $\pi = \mathrm{Span}\{e, Pe\}$. Thus, we get $\alpha(\pi) = 1$, $\mathrm{trace}(h|_\pi) = 0$ and $\det(h|_\pi) = \det((\varphi h)|_\pi)$. Using these facts along with (21) in (16), we get (25). □

References

[1] D. E. Blair, **Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds**, Progress in Mathematics, 203. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002. **MR** 1 874 240.

[2] A. Carriazo, *A contact version of B.-Y. Chen's inequality and its applications to slant immersions*, Kyungpook Math. J. **39**(1999), no. 2, 465-476. **MR**2000h:53070.

[3] B.-Y. Chen, *Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **60**(1993), no. 6, 568-578. **MR**94d:53093.

[4] B.-Y. Chen, *A Riemannian invariant and its applications to submanifold theory*, Results in Mathematics **27**(1995), 17-26. **MR**95m:53085

[5] B.-Y. Chen, *A general inequality for submanifolds in complex-space-forms and its applications*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **67**(1996), no. 6, 519–528. **MR**97i:53061.

[6] Y. H. Kim and D.-S. Kim, *A basic inequality for submanifolds in Sasakian space forms*, Houston J. Math. **25**(1999), no. 2, 247-257. **MR**2000d:53089.

[7] T. Koufogiorgos, *Contact Riemannian manifolds with constant φ-sectional curvature*, Tokyo J. Math. **20**(1997), no. 1, 13-22. **MR**98e:53045.

[8] M. M. Tripathi, J.-S. Kim and S.-B. Kim, *A note on Chen's basic equality for submanifolds in a Sasakian space form*, submitted.

Mukut Mani Tripathi
Department of Mathematics and Astronomy,
Lucknow University,
Lucknow 226 007, India.
Current Address
Department of Mathematics,
Chonnam National University,
Kwangju 500-757, Korea.
email: `mm_tripathi@hotmail.com`

Jeong-Sik Kim
Department of Mathematics Education,
Sunchon National University,
Chonnam 540-742, Korea.
email: `jskim01@hanmir.com`

Jaedong Choi
Department of Mathematics,
P.O. Box 335-2, Air Force Academy,
Ssangsu, Namil, Cheongwon,
Chungbuk, 363-849, Korea.
e-mail: `jdong@afa.ac.kr`